TRAJECTORY PLANNING FOR ROBOT MANIPULATORS

Prof. Rohan Munasinghe Based on MSc Research by Chinthaka Porawagama

Industrial Robotics Involves in

- \triangleright Pick-and-place operations
- Assembling operations
- Loading and stacking
- \triangleright Automated welding, etc.

Proper motion planning is needed in these applications

Trajectory Planning

Manipulators with multi degree of freedom for accomplishing various complex manipulation in the work space

Path: only geometric description **Trajectory**: timing included

Trajectory Planning

Joint Space Vs Operational Space

- Joint-space description:
	- The description of the motion to be made by the robot by its joint values.
	- The motion between the two points is unpredictable.
- Operational space description:
	- In many cases operational space = Cartesian space.
	- The motion between the two points is known at all times and controllable.
	- It is easy to visualize the trajectory, but it is difficult to ensure that singularity does not occur.

Planning in Operational Space

Sequential motions of a robot to follow a straight line.

Cartesian-space trajectory

- (a) The trajectory specified in Cartesian coordinates may force the robot to run into itself, and
- (b) the trajectory may requires a sudden change in the joint angles.

Planning in Operational Space

- Calculate path from the initial point to the final point.
- Assign a total time T_{path} to traverse the path.
- Discretize the points in $\overline{}$ time and space.
- Blend a continuous time function between these points
- Solve inverse kinematics at each step.
- Advantages
	- Collision free path can be obtained.
- **Disadvantages**
	- Computationally expensive due to inverse kinematics.
	- It is unknown how to set the total time T_{path} .

Planning in Joint Space

- Calculate inverse kinematics solution from initial point to the final point.
- Assign total time T_{path} using maximal velocities in joints.
- Discretize the individual joint trajectories in time.
- Blend a continuous function between these point.

Advantages

- Inverse kinematics is computed only once.
- Can easily take into account joint angle, velocity constraints.
- **Disadvantages**
	- Cannot deal with operational space obstacles.

Path Definition

"Expressing the desired positions of a manipulator in the space, as a parametric function of time"

B. Siciliano et al. Robotics (Modelling, planning and \blacksquare control), Springer, Berlin, 2009, chapter 4: Trajectory planning, pages 161-189.

Joint space

Types of Motion

1. Point to point motion:

- \Box End effector moves from a **start point** to **end point** in work space
- \Box All joints' movements are coordinated for the point-to-point motion
- \Box End effector travels in an arbitrary path

2. Motion with Via Points

- \Box End effector moves through an intermediate point between start and end
- \Box End effector moves through a via point without stopping

Joint Space Planning

Point to point motion:

"Describing of joints' motions from start to end by smooth functions

Basic stages of solving of joint space trajectory planning problem ?

Joint Space Planning

Point to point motion:

"Describing of joints' motions from start to end by smooth functions

Joint Space Planning

Smooth Motion \rightarrow Quality of Work

□ Non smooth trajectories lead to low quality in production.

Linear Trajectory

$$
q(t) = qs + \frac{qs - qs}{tg}
$$

\n
$$
\dot{q}(t) = \frac{qs - qs}{tg}
$$

\n
$$
\ddot{q}(t) = \begin{cases} \infty & t = 0, tg \\ 0 & 0 < t < tg \end{cases}
$$

- \Box Infinite accelerations at endpoints
- \Box Discontinuous velocity when two trajectory segments are connected (at via points)

Triangular Velocity Trajectory

$$
q^{s}-q^{s} = \frac{t_{g}}{2} \left(\ddot{q}_{min} \frac{t_{g}}{2} \right) \underbrace{\frac{\ddot{q}(t)}{\dot{q}(t)}}_{\dot{q}_{min}^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
\ddot{q}_{min} = \frac{4(q^{s}-q^{s})}{t_{g}^{2}}
$$

$$
q(t) = \begin{cases} q^s + 0.5\ddot{q}_{\min}t^2 & 0 \le t < 0.5t_g \\ 0.5(q^s + q^s) - 0.5\ddot{q}_{\min}t^2 & 0.5t_g \le t \le t_g \end{cases}
$$

□ Acceleration discontinuity at endpoints and at the midpoint of the trajectory

Linear Trajectory with Parabolic Blends

Linear Trajectory with Parabolic Blends

□ Total angular motion

$$
S = 2(parabolic) + Linear
$$

\n
$$
q^{s} - q^{s} = 2 \times \frac{1}{2} \ddot{q}^{b} t_{b}^{2} + \dot{q}^{b} (t_{g} - t_{b})
$$

\n
$$
\ddot{q}^{b} t_{b}^{2} - \ddot{q}^{b} t_{g} t_{b} + (q^{g} - q^{s}) = 0
$$

\n
$$
t_{b} = \frac{t_{g}}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t_{g}^{2} - \frac{4(q^{g} - q^{s})}{\ddot{q}^{b}}}
$$

 \Box For a linear part to exist

$$
t_g^2 - \frac{4(q^g - q^s)}{\ddot{q}^b} > 0 \qquad \dot{q}^b > \frac{4(q^g - q^s)}{t_g^2} \qquad \leftarrow \text{Minimum joint acceleration}
$$

Linear Trajectory with Parabolic Blends

Inclusion of Via Points into a Linear Trajectory with Parabolic Blends

□ Via points (knot points) can be introduced between start and goal (q^s, q^g) positions with constant acceleration at via point.

Multi-stage linear parabolic blend spline

Cubic Polynomial (Bring in Smoothness)

Cubic Polynomial (zero sped at endpoints)

- □ Satisfies position and velocity at end-points
- □ Eg: zero speed at end-points

$$
q(0) = q^{s}
$$
\n
$$
q(t_{g}) = q^{g}
$$
\n
$$
q(t_{g}) = 0
$$
\n
$$
q(t) = q^{s} + \frac{3}{t_{g}^{2}}(q^{g} - q^{s})t^{2} - \frac{2}{t_{g}^{3}}(q^{g} - q^{s})t^{3}
$$
\n
$$
q(t) = q^{s} + \frac{3}{t_{g}^{2}}(q^{g} - q^{s})t^{2} - \frac{2}{t_{g}^{3}}(q^{g} - q^{s})t^{3}
$$
\n
$$
q(t_{g}) = q^{s}
$$
\n
$$
0 \le t \le t_{g}
$$
\n
$$
q(t_{g}) = 2a_{2} + 6a_{2}t \quad 0 \le t \le t_{g}
$$
\n
$$
q_{1} = \frac{3(q^{s} - q^{s})}{t_{g}^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
q_{2} = \frac{3(q^{s} - q^{s})}{t_{g}^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
q_{3} = \frac{-2(q^{s} - q^{s})}{t_{g}^{3}}
$$

Cubic Polynomial (zero sped at endpoints)

Cubic Polynomial (nonzero speeds at end- points)

□ Satisfies position and velocity at end-points

 \Box Eg: non-zero speeds at end-points

$$
q(0) = q^{s}
$$
\n
$$
q(t_{g}) = q^{s}
$$
\n
$$
q(1) \text{ for } t = 0, \quad q^{s} = a_{0}
$$
\n
$$
q(t_{g}) = \dot{q}^{s}
$$
\n
$$
q(0) = \dot{q}^{s}
$$
\n
$$
q(1) \text{ for } t = t_{g}, \quad q^{s} = a_{0} + a_{1}t_{g} + a_{2}t_{g}^{2} + a_{3}t_{g}^{3}
$$
\n
$$
q(t) = \dot{q}^{s}
$$
\n
$$
q(t) = a_{0} + a_{1}t + a_{2}t^{2} + a_{3}t^{3}
$$
\n
$$
q(t) = a_{0} + a_{1}t + a_{2}t^{2} + a_{3}t^{3}
$$
\n
$$
q(t) = t_{g}
$$
\n
$$
q(t) = t_{g}
$$
\n
$$
q(t) = a_{0} + a_{1}t + a_{2}t^{2} + a_{3}t^{3}
$$
\n
$$
q_{0} = q^{s}
$$
\n
$$
q_{1} = \dot{q}^{s}
$$
\n
$$
q_{2} = \frac{3}{t_{g}^{2}}(q^{s} - q^{s}) - \frac{1}{t_{g}}(q^{s} - \dot{q}^{s})
$$
\n
$$
q_{3} = -\frac{2}{t_{g}}(q^{s} - q^{s}) + \frac{1}{t_{g}}(q^{s} - \dot{q}^{s})
$$
\nuncontrollable

$$
\ddot{q}(t) = 2a_2 + 6a_2t \quad 0 \le t \le t_g
$$

$$
a_3 = -\frac{1}{t_g^3}(q - q) + \frac{1}{t_g^2}
$$

Cubic Spline Trajectory

□ Stitching cubic polynomials together

 \Box Acceleration is not continuous at via (stitching points)

